## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	) Civil Action No. 08-1345 (RMC) ECF
<b>v.</b>	)
8 GILCREASE LANE, QUINCY FLORIDA 32351, <i>ET AL</i> .,	) ) )
Defendants.	) ) )

## PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF ITS OPPOSITION (DKT #51) TO MEMBERS' AND VICTIMS' MOTIONS TO INTERVENE

Plaintiff, the United States of America, respectfully informs the Court of the following supplemental authority in support of its opposition to various would-be intervenors' efforts to appear in this matter, apparently to seek dismissal of this forfeiture case, and either a return, to Mr. Bowdoin, of funds that they provided to him, or a return to them of funds seized from bank accounts that, before their seizure, were controlled by Thomas A. Bowdoin, Jr. In *United States v. Wilson*, \_\_ F. Supp.2d \_\_, 2009 WL 2424623 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 6, 2009), the district court out of the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit rejected fraud victims' efforts to intervene in criminal forfeiture's ancillary proceedings because, even accepting the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit's *Boylan*'s conclusion, that a constructive trust was deemed to relate back to the time when an offense was committed, which the district court recognized was inaccurate, to prevail against a forfeiture under Section 853(n)(6)(A), a petitioner must establish an interest in a property that existed *before* the crime occurred. A property interest arising "simultaneously with the Government's interest," not before it, comes

too late, as the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit recognized in *Hooper*<sup>1</sup>, for victim-petitioners to prevail under Section 853(n)(6)(A). Moreover, under a Prudential Standing analysis, "the interests of crime victims in receiving restitution are not in the zone of interests implicated in [the forfeiture statute], even if they have Article III standing under Boylan.<sup>2</sup>" (Citing United States v. Lazarenko, 476 F.3d 642 (9th Cir. 2007.)

Respectfully submitted,

 $/_{\rm S}/$ 

CHANNING D. PHILLIPS Acting United States Attorney

 $/_{\rm S}/$ 

VASU B. MUTHYALA, DC Bar #496935 Assistant United States Attorney

 $/_{\rm S}/$ 

WILLIAM R. COWDEN, DC Bar #426301 Senior Trial Counsel Department of Justice, Criminal Division Asset Forfeiture and Money Laundering Section 1400 New York Avenue, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530 202-514-1263

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I caused a copy of the foregoing Opposition to be served by means of the Court's ECF system on this 4<sup>th</sup> day of September 2009 upon all counsel or parties of record.

_/s/	
William R. Cowden	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> United States v. Hooper, 229 F.3d 818 (9th Cir. 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> United States v. \$4,224,958.57 ("Boylan"), 392 F.3d 1002 (9th Cir. 2004).